



Scenario for near-term implementation of partial capture from blast furnace gases in Swedish steel industry

CO₂stCap

Presenter: [Maximilian Biermann](#), PhD student, Chalmers
max.biermann@chalmers.se

Collaborators

Fredrik Normann, Chalmers
Filip Johnsson, Chalmers
Mikael Larsson, Swerim
David Bellqvist, SSAB
Ragnhild Skagestad, SINTEF
Hassan Ali, USN
Maria Sundqvist, (prev. Swerim, now Luleå Energi)

This work is part of the CO₂stCap project
[Cutting Cost of CO₂ Capture in Process Industry](#)

Financial support:
Gassnova (CLIMIT Demo)
The Swedish Energy Agency


Project partners:



Steelmaking


Carbon is used as reducing agent

→ Primary steelmaking has to be decarbonized, while secondary steelmaking is ramped up




How does CCS fit in?

How can CCS contribute to starting mitigation in the near term and in synergy with HDR? What are the techno-economic conditions for this?



Major steel producers in Europe work with **hydrogen direct reduction (HDR)** to reach close-to-zero CO₂ emissions by Year 2040-2050

Steel case: design & economics



Entire gas flow into absorber, lower L/G ratio
 → separation rate in absorber <90%;
 → lower specific heat demand


Parameter	Value
Economic plant life time	25 years
Construction time	2 years
Plant availability	95%
Rate of return	7.5%
Annual maintenance cost	4% of investment cost
Annual labor cost	821 k€/annum
Utilities	
MEA make-up	1867 €/m ³
Cooling water	0.022 €/m ³
Electricity	0.030 €/kWh
Steam	assessed separately

Bottom-up approach in assessing value of excess heat !

Biermann et al. Partial Carbon Capture by Absorption Cycle for Reduced Specific Capture Cost. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2018

Luleå steel mill - CO₂ sources


- Iron production from iron ore pellet 100%
- No rolling mill
- ~ 2 Mt steel slabs p.a.
- ~ 3.4 Mt CO₂ p.a.



Emissions reductions and capture cost

- Capturing from blast furnace gas is most economic
→ 20%–38% less CO₂ emissions
- Excess heat sources; at constant load:
 - Flare gases
 - Flue gas heat recovery
 - Dry Coke Quenching
 - Dry Slag Granulation
- Partial capture with excess heat costs less than full capture with external energy

capture cost, no transport & storage



Capture cost structure


i) Partial capture with excess heat is dominated by CAPEX;

ii) Full capture is dominated by steam cost and is thus more sensitive to changes in energy markets

iii) Production cost for steel slabs increase by 4 – 17%* for investigated cases;


*based on 280 €/t slab steel; source: [IEAGHG. Iron and Steel CCS Study \(Techno-Economics Integrated Steel Mill\); 2013/04, July, 2013.](#)

capture cost, no transport & storage



Near-term implementation

Partial capture with excess heat requires a carbon price of 40-60 €/tonne CO₂




Window of opportunity: coming 5-15 years

Later: economic lifetime of partial capture unit (25yrs) would be too short before policies will require close to 100% emission reduction

¹Assuming ship transport to storage

Transition to low-carbon technologies

- i. Accumulated emissions are relevant!
Partial capture could de-risk late arrival of HDR
- ii. CCS infrastructure could be used in HDR concepts
 - capture remaining fossil & biogenic emissions
 - produce "blue" hydrogen from fossil fuels
- iii. Partial capture could evolve
 - co-mitigation with biomass
 - solvent improvement



Integrated steel works with 2Mt steel slabs p.a.

Key findings – steel case

- Integrated steel mills: Partial capture powered by excess heat is more cost-efficient than full capture that relies on external energy
- Near-term implementation in 2020s: possible if policies value carbon at 40-60 €/t CO₂
- Window of opportunity for implementation of partial capture, before low-carbon technologies are required to meet CO₂ emission targets!
- Partial capture may allow for synergies with other mitigation options (biomass, electrification, etc.)
- Partial capture could be a step toward the transition to low-carbon technologies, such as hydrogen direct reduction (HDR), to enable the low-carbon economies of the future.

Partial Capture: "Some is better than none!"

Maximilian Biermann, PhD student, Chalmers
max.biermann@chalmers.se



[Profile page @Chalmers](#)
with publication list



This work is part of the CO2stCap project
[Cutting Cost of CO₂ Capture in Process Industry](#)

Financial support:
Gassnova (CLIMIT Demo)
The Swedish Energy Agency

Project partners:



A Member of
The Linde Group

